In closing, President Kitts mentioned that Dr. Ross Alexander and Mr. Evan Thornton will conduct an assessment of build					

Data presented by Dr. Purser 4. JONAE \_2046 .6A 8 17. 69 ∴Ovprall 68.7 Full 111 111 Associate 11111 .... 83.9 **Assistant**  $\parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel$ 9:5 66.2 Instructor iii i i 70 6 40.7

### **Unfinished Business:**

Final Approval of the Revised Minutes from the September 7th, 2017 Faculty Senate Meeting

Minutes from Sept. 7, 17 meeting found to be in error.

Revision to Appendix 2F of the Faculty Handbook – Application for Promotion and/or Tenure.

Senator Zayac moved to approve the proposed revision to Section 2.5.4 of the Faculty Handbook involving Renewal or Termination of a Probationary Appointment. Senator Graham seconded the motion. The motion passed. (see Attachment E.)

Revised Minutes from Sept. 7, 17 meeting addressing the error.

Revision to Appendix 2F of the Faculty Handbook – Application for Promotion and/or Tenure.

Senator Zayac moved to approve the proposed revision to Appendix 2F of the Faculty Handbook – Application for Promotion and/or Tenure to align the application form to the policy under which a faculty member applies for promotion and/or tenure. Senator Graham seconded the motion. The motion passed. (see Attachment E.)

After reviewing the revised minutes, Senator Satom moved to approved the Sept. 7<sup>th</sup> minutes. Dr. Alejandra Alvarado-Brizuela seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

### **New Business:**

# Revision of Appendix 2G of the Faculty Handbook-Promotion and/or Tenure Evaluation Form

Senator Zayac moved to approve the proposal to revise Appendix 2G of the Faculty Handbook-Promotion and/or Tenure Evaluation Form to eliminate criteria at the bottom of the form as that language was not applicable to both policies. Senator Statom seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. (See Attachment A)

## **Revision to Section 3.15 of the Faculty Handbook-Faculty Evaluation**

Senator Statom moved to approve the proposal to revise Section 3.15 of the Faculty Handbook-Faculty Evaluation to add language confirming that student evaluations of courses would be transitioning to online administration. Senator Zayac seconded the motion.

There was some discussion seeking to clarify when the online administration would begin. A pilot will be conducted Spring 2018 involving MBA courses with the University seeking to implement online administration Summer 2018 or, at the latest, Fall 2018.

The motions passed unanimously. (See Attachment B)

#### **Information Items:**

There were no information items.

## Adjourn:

Senator Statom made a motion to adjourn. Dr. Alejandra Alvarado-Brizuela seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned at 4:15pm.

## Attachment A



2. .



taught in non-lecture format. The faculty members ill are that the rating looking with the administered. The proressor was read the rollowing statement to the class: "The evaluation go to be constructive feedback. After your final grades in this course have been submitted, your Moulated responses will be seen by department-or-dear fair and honest. Since the purpose of the evaluation is improvement. if you are going a be critical, try to document your criticism in your responses in such a way that the instructor can benefit completing this form as you woul course will not see the results of these forms until the say if the comments." The faculty member should give the envelope with the blank forms and instructions to member - The fact students ample time to complete the form. As students in his/her form in the proper envelope, the student proctor will seal the envelope and take it to the office of the department chair. The departmental administrative assistant will collect all sealed envelopes and forward them to the Office of Institutional Research Planning, and Assessment (OIRPA) for processing. The OIRPA formsonline evaluation in stime of tashion and forward results to the department chair

Performance Evaluations. Using the faculty member's updated curriculum vitae, Faculty Performance Report, student ratings, and other appropriate faculty and every department chairs will need a schedule; by September 15 every year for nontenured faculty and every two years for tenured faculty. Althousance evaluations may be provided more frequently at the discretion of the department chair or upon request by the faculty member or the dean of the college. The evaluation will be signed by the department chair department chair of the department chair of th

with the above process and scheduled by the dean of the appropriate college and will include evaluations and consum department faculty and staff in compared to the chair.

3.15.2 Tof the Results of Button

The Faculty Evaluation Program is an intermediate institutional effectiveness program. Departments will use the tornation collected through the Faculty Evaluation Program in the Respertmental and accounts.